Introduction

The human hand is unique in being free of habitual locomotor duty and devoted entirely to functions of manipulation. Its effectiveness in these activities is due to particular configuration of the bones and muscles which permits opposition of the pulp surface of the thumb to the corresponding surfaces of the other four finger tips in a firm grasp, together with a highly elaborated nervous control and sensitivity of the fingers. Hand is a very complex structure capable of not only a multitude of motor tasks but also of relaying sensory information about the temperature, the shape and texture of objects to the brain.

The hand does not function in isolation, and is dependent on the integrity of the shoulder and elbow complexes to allow the appropriate positioning of the hand in space to complete the desired task.
Ball games require comprehensive ability including physical, technical, mental and tactical abilities. Among them physical abilities of players exert marked effects on the skills of the players themselves and the tactics of the team. For the ball games in which the use of the hand is essential, hand morphology and functional properties could be important for the performance.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different sports branches on the physical characteristics and function of the hand. Hand anthropometric measurements were performed in order to evaluate the physical characteristics of the hand, and the grip strength was chosen as the indicator of hand function. These parameters were evaluated in basketball, volleyball and handball players in which hand functions are very important for the performance of the players.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was performed with the participation of 145 basketball, 133 volleyball and 96 handball players aged between 9-18 years. All of the participants were players of the school teams which have degrees in provincial tournaments.

Exclusion criteria were set upon our knowledge of some genetic, psychological, neurological or chronic diseases affecting hand function and anthropometric characteristics. Diseased or disabled persons were excluded from the study according to the mentioned criteria. Informed consents of all participants were obtained. The Ethics Committee of Karaelmas University also endorsed its approval for the study.

Anthropometric measurements

A digital compass (Shan 150 mm) with a resolution of 0.01 mm / 0.005 inch was used for anthropometric measurements. Measurements were taken from the palmar side with digits fully stretched touching on a flat, hard surface and the 2nd to 5th digit adducted and the thumb slightly extended.

For each hand, 7 parameters related with hand dimensions and ratios were evaluated. Hand width, hand length, 3rd digit length and body height were measured with the method stated by Pheasent. The hand length/body height ratio, the shape index which determines hand shape, the digit index which determines grasping capability and palmar length/width ratio which determines palmar type without the digits were also assessed.

**Palmar length:** The palmar length defined as the distance between the midpoint of the distal wrist crease and the midpoint of the proximal digit crease, was calculated according to the formula hand length minus 3rd digit length.

**Shape index (length-width index, hand index):** Hand width x 100/Hand length.

**Digit index (phalangeal index):** 3rd digit length x 100/hand length.

**Palmar length/width ratio:** Palmar length/Palmar width (Palmar width = Hand width).

**Hand length/height ratio:** Hand length/Body height.

Body weight was measured using a standard scale with light clothing on and without any footwear. Height was measured with the individual in upright position in front of a wall looking ahead and heels touching one another.

**Grip strength**

A digital hand dynamometer (Takei) was used to measure the grip strength. The participant sat on a chair with the elbow flexed at 90° and the forearm in semi-pronation lying on an arm rest. The participants were asked to squeeze the dynamometer three times with each hand. There was a one minute resting period between each squeeze in order to overcome fatigue. The mean value of three squeezes was taken into account.

**Data analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS for windows Release 11.01. Statistical comparisons of subgroups for parametric and non-parametric conditions were performed using Analyses of Variance (One Way ANOVA) and Kruskal-Wallis Variance Analyses respectively. The differences within the groups were evaluated with post hoc Bonferroni adjustment.
Results
There were statistically significant differences in right and left hand shape indices, right and left hand length/height ratio values between male basketball, volleyball and handball players. Difference between basketball and handball groups was the reason of the significance (Table 1).

There were significant differences in right and left hand width, right and left hand length, right and left 3rd finger length, right and left grip strength values between female basketball, volleyball and handball players. Handball group was the reason of the significance (Table 2).

There were significant differences in right and left hand width, right digit index, right and left hand length/height ratio values between basketball, volleyball and handball players when all individuals were considered. When right and left hand width values were considered the difference between the basketball and handball groups caused the significance. When right and left hand length/height ratio values were considered the difference between the volleyball and handball groups caused the significance (Table 3).

Discussion
Physical activities and sports have an important role not only in proper growth and maturation of the child but may also delay the physical frazzle which might occur with the advance of age.9

There are various studies concerning the effects of sports on anthropometric measurements and physical status of the human body.9-12 In this study the effect of three different sports branches on the hand morphology and function was evaluated.

In the study of Çolak9 in which physiological and anthropometric parameters were investigated in males aged between 10-15 years it was stated that there was a significant difference between the groups when arm length and whole upper extremity length was considered and volleyball group was the reason for those differences. Also when the forearm length was considered the difference between the groups was not statistically significant.9 Although hand parameters were not investigated in the study of Çolak,9 he suggested that most of the differences were caused by the volleyball playing group.

Table 1
Comparison of hand parameters of male basketball, volleyball and handball players

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basketball mean (sd)</th>
<th>Volleyball mean (sd)</th>
<th>Handball mean (sd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=85</td>
<td>n=70</td>
<td>n=81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hand width (mm)</td>
<td>77.80 (8.13)</td>
<td>80.17 (7.64)</td>
<td>80.09 (9.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hand width (mm)</td>
<td>76.85 (7.77)</td>
<td>79.60 (7.43)</td>
<td>79.05 (8.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hand length (mm)</td>
<td>172.87 (17.73)</td>
<td>176.77 (18.42)</td>
<td>174.54 (19.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hand length (mm)</td>
<td>173.84 (18.11)</td>
<td>177.53 (18.13)</td>
<td>175.21 (20.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right 3rd digit length (mm)</td>
<td>74.45 (8.42)</td>
<td>75.75 (9.09)</td>
<td>74.47 (9.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left 3rd digit length (mm)</td>
<td>74.72 (8.13)</td>
<td>76.17 (8.58)</td>
<td>74.88 (9.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right shape index*</td>
<td>45.01 (2.17)</td>
<td>45.42 (2.14)</td>
<td>45.89 (1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left shape index*</td>
<td>44.32 (2.08)</td>
<td>45.08 (2.33)</td>
<td>45.12 (1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right digit index</td>
<td>43.03 (1.53)</td>
<td>42.88 (1.23)</td>
<td>42.60 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left digit index</td>
<td>43.03 (1.44)</td>
<td>42.92 (1.67)</td>
<td>42.68 (1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right palmar length/width ratio</td>
<td>1.26 (0.08)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left palmar length/width ratio</td>
<td>1.29 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hand length/height ratio*</td>
<td>0.108 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.109 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.111 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hand length/height ratio*</td>
<td>0.109 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.110 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.111 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right grip strength (kg)</td>
<td>23.07 (10.32)</td>
<td>24.46 (10.51)</td>
<td>22.89 (10.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left grip strength (kg)</td>
<td>22.09 (9.83)</td>
<td>24.35 (10.38)</td>
<td>22.12 (10.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses of Variance, *p<0.05
When the results obtained from the males were considered there were only significant differences for right and left hand shape indices, right and left hand length/body height ratios between basketball, volleyball and handball players. The difference between basketball and handball groups was the reason for these results (Table 1). When the results obtained from the females were considered there were only significant differences for hand width, hand length, 3rd finger length, and grip strength values between basketball, volleyball and handball players. The difference between basketball and handball groups was the reason for these results (Table 1).
ball players. The handball group was the reason for the significance (Table 2). When the results obtained from all participants were considered there were only significant differences for hand width, hand length/height ratio for both hands, right digit index values between basketball, volleyball and handball players. Difference between volleyball and handball groups was the reason of the significance (Table 3).

In the study of Çolak the greatest value for grip strength for both hands were obtained from the football group but the differences were not significant. In our study there were no significant differences between the groups when males and the whole study group were considered for the hand grip values. Volleyball players had the greatest grip strength among males (Table 1). The handball players had the greatest grip strength when the whole study group was considered (Table 3). Hand grip strength of female handball players was significantly higher than the other females (Table 2).

In this study it could be suggested that the reason for most of the differences was handball group, as handball requires more efficacious usage of hands.
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